

ECON 6170
Problem Set 8

Gabe Sekeres

October 25, 2024

1 Exercises from class notes

Exercise 8. Prove the following: Suppose $f : X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is differentiable at $x_0 \in \text{int}(X)$. Then $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x_0)$ exists for any (i, j) , and

$$Df(x_0) = \left[\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x_0) \right]_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(x_0) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_d}(x_0) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1}(x_0) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_d}(x_0) \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof. We have that f is differentiable, meaning that there exists a linear transformation $D : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x_0 + h) - (f(x_0) + Dh)\|_m}{\|h\|_d} = 0$$

Fix some $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, \dots, d\}$. Take $h = \eta e_j$ for some $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and e_j the standard j th basis vector in \mathbb{R}^d . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x_0 + \eta e_j) - (f(x_0) + D\eta e_j)\|_m}{\|\eta e_j\|_d} &= \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x_0 + \eta e_j) - (f(x_0) + D\eta e_j)\|_m}{|\eta|} \\ &= \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x_0 + \eta e_j) - f(x_0) - \eta d_j\|_m}{|\eta|} \end{aligned}$$

where d_j is the j th column of D . This implies that, expanding the norm, we have that

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x_0 + \eta e_j) - (f(x_0) + D\eta e_j)\|_m}{\|\eta e_j\|_d} = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m (f_i(x_0 + \eta e_j) - f_i(x_0) - \eta d_{ij})^2}}{|\eta|} = 0$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_i(x_0 + \eta e_j) - f_i(x_0) - \eta d_{ij}}{\eta} = 0 \implies \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_i(x_0 + \eta e_j) - f_i(x_0)}{\eta} = d_{ij}$$

Thus, by definition $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x_0)$ exists, and $Df(x_0) = \left[\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x_0) \right]_{ij}$. □

Exercise 9. Let $f(x, y) = \frac{xy}{x^2 + y^2}$, if $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$, and let $f(0, 0) = 0$. Show that the partial derivatives of f exist at $(0, 0)$, but that f is not differentiable at $(0, 0)$.

Proof. Consider first $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, 0)$. From the definition of the partial derivative, we have that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, 0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h, 0) - f(0, 0)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{0}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} 0 = 0$$

Similarly, we have that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(0,h) - f(0,0)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{0}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} 0 = 0$$

So the two partial derivatives do exist. However, f is not differentiable at $(0,0)$. To see why, note that the limit from two directions is:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(h,h) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h^2}{2h^2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(h,0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{0}{h^2} = 0$$

So f is not continuous at $(0,0)$ and thus is not differentiable. \square

Exercise 10. Let $f : (a,b) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be differentiable, and let $g : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable at $f(x_0)$ for $x_0 \in (a,b)$. Express $D(g \circ f)$ as a function of the partial derivatives of f and g .

Proof. We have that from the Chain rule:

$$D(g \circ f)(x_0) = Dg(f(x_0))Df(x_0)$$

From Exercise 8, we have that

$$Dg(f(x)) = \left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial f_j(x)} f(x) \right]_{1 \times d} \quad \text{and} \quad Df(x_0) = \left[\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_0}(x_0) \right]_{d \times 1}$$

for $j = \{1, \dots, d\}$. Thus, we have that

$$D(g \circ f)(x_0) = \left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial f_j(x)} f(x) \right]_{1 \times d} \cdot \left[\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_0}(x_0) \right]_{d \times 1} = \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial f_i(x)} f(x) \right) \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_0}(x_0) \right)$$

\square

Exercise 11. Prove the following:

Theorem 1. (Young's Theorem with $d = 2$) Suppose $f : X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $f \in C^2$ at $x_0 \in \text{int}(X)$. Then, when they both exist,

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(x_0) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1}(x_0)$$

Proof. We have that f is twice continuously differentiable. Consider the rectangle formed by $x_0 + h$, where the points are x_0 , $(x_{0,1} + h_1, x_{0,2})$, $(x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} + h_2)$, and $(x_{0,1} + h_1, x_{0,2} + h_2)$. Define the distance functions

$$r(h) = f(x_{0,1} + h_1, x_{0,2} + h_2) - f(x_{0,1} + h_1, x_{0,2})$$

and

$$t(h) = f(x_{0,1} + h_1, x_{0,2} + h_2) - f(x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} + h_2)$$

Then we define

$$d(h) = f(x_{0,1} + h_1, x_{0,2} + h_2) - f(x_{0,1} + h_1, x_{0,2}) - f(x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} + h_2) + f(x_0)$$

and note that

$$d(h) = r(h_1, h_2) - r(0, h_2) = t(h_1, h_2) - t(h_1, 0)$$

Since these are all additive functions of f , which is twice continuously differentiable, all of these functions are continuous and differentiable on their domains, so the Mean Value Theorem applies. We have that there exists $y \in (0, h_1), y' \in (0, h_2)$ such that

$$d(h) = r(h_1, h_2) - r(0, h_2) = r'(y, h_2) \cdot (h_1, 0)$$

and

$$d(h) = t(h_1, h_2) - t(h_1, 0) = t'(h_1, y') \cdot (0, h_2)$$

so

$$r'(y, h_2) \cdot (h_1, 0) = t'(h_1, y') \cdot (0, h_2)$$

Thus, we have that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial h} [f(x_{0,1} + y, x_{0,2} + h_2) - f(x_{0,1} + y, x_{0,2})] (h_1, 0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial h} [f(x_{0,1} + h_1, x_{0,2} + y') - f(x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} + y')] (0, h_2)$$

which implies that

$$h_1 \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x_{0,1} + y, x_{0,2} + h_2) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x_{0,1} + y, x_{0,2}) \right) = h_2 \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(x_{0,1} + h_1, x_{0,2} + y') - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} + y') \right)$$

Since $f \in C^2$, we have that each of the parts inside the parentheses are continuous and differentiable. Thus, using the Mean Value Theorem again, we get that there exists $z \in (0, h_1), z' \in (0, h_2)$ such that this becomes

$$h_1 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x_0 + z) \cdot (0, h_2) \right) = h_2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z'} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(x_0 + z') \cdot (h_1, 0) \right)$$

Recalling that $y, y', z, z' \in (0, h)$, we have that as $h \rightarrow 0$, $y, y', z, z' \rightarrow h$, and this becomes

$$h_1 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial h} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x_0 + h) \cdot (0, h_2) \right) = h_2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial h} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(x_0 + h) \cdot (h_1, 0) \right)$$

Simplifying the partial derivatives, we get that this is

$$h_1 \left(h_2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1}(x_0 + h) \right) = h_2 \left(h_1 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(x_0 + h) \right)$$

So we have that

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1}(x_0 + h) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(x_0 + h)$$

As $h \rightarrow 0$, since $f \in C^2$, we can conclude that

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1}(x_0) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(x_0)$$

□

Exercise 14. Let $f : X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where X is nonempty, open, and convex. For any $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $S_{x,v} := \{t \in \mathbb{R} : x + tv \in X\}$ and define $g_{x,v} : S_{x,v} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $g_{x,v}(t) := f(x + tv)$. Then f is (resp. strictly) concave on X if and only if $g_{x,v}$ is (resp. strictly) concave for all $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $v \neq 0$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow): We have that f is concave on X , meaning that $f''(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in X$. We also have that from the chain rule,

$$g'_{x,v}(t) = f'(x + tv) \cdot v \implies g''_{x,v}(t) = f''(x + tv) \cdot v^2$$

Thus, when $v \neq 0$, $g''_{x,v}(t) \leq 0$. A similar proof holds when f is strictly concave, replacing \leq with $<$.

(\Leftarrow): We have that g is concave for all $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ where $v \neq 0$. Again from the chain rule, we have that

$$g''_{x,v}(t) = f''(x + tv)v^2 \implies f''(x + tv) = \frac{g''_{x,v}(t)}{v^2}$$

and since $v \neq 0$ and $x + tv \in X$ by definition, we have that $f''(x + tv)$ is concave whenever the argument is in X . A similar proof holds when f is strictly concave. \square

Exercise 17. Let $f : \mathbb{R}_{++}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x, y) := x^\alpha y^\beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Compute the Hessian of f at $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^2$. Find conditions on α and β such that f is (i) strictly concave, (ii) concave but not strictly concave, and (iii) neither concave nor convex. How do your answers change if the domain of f was \mathbb{R}_+^2 ?

Solution. We have that

$$H_f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{(\partial x)^2}(x, y) & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y) \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(x, y) & \frac{\partial^2 f}{(\partial y)^2}(x, y) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha(\alpha - 1)x^{\alpha-2}y^\beta & \alpha\beta x^{\alpha-1}y^{\beta-1} \\ \alpha\beta x^{\alpha-1}y^{\beta-1} & \beta(\beta - 1)x^\alpha y^{\beta-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

From Proposition 15, we have that H_f being negative definite implies that f is strictly concave. We have that the determinant of H_f is

$$\det(H_f) = (\alpha(\alpha - 1)x^{\alpha-2}y^\beta)(\beta(\beta - 1)x^\alpha y^{\beta-2}) - (\alpha\beta x^{\alpha-1}y^{\beta-1})^2$$

so simplifying, we get that

$$\det(H_f) = \alpha\beta x^{2\alpha-2}y^{2\beta-2}(1 - \alpha - \beta)$$

Additionally, the trace of H_f is

$$\text{tr}(H_f) = \alpha(\alpha - 1)x^{\alpha-2}y^\beta + \beta(\beta - 1)x^\alpha y^{\beta-2} = x^\alpha y^\beta \left(\frac{\alpha^2 - \alpha}{x^2} + \frac{\beta^2 - \beta}{y^2} \right)$$

A matrix is negative definite if its Eigenvalues are all negative. Equivalently, since this is a 2×2 matrix, it is negative definite if the determinant is positive and the trace is negative. This condition is satisfied when $1 - \alpha - \beta > 0$ and when $\alpha^2 - \alpha$ and $\beta^2 - \beta$ are both negative. This implies that $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ and $\alpha + \beta < 1$.

Similarly, this function is concave but not strictly concave if the Hessian is negative semi-definite but not negative definite. This happens when the determinant is non-negative and the trace is non-positive, which happens when $1 - \alpha - \beta \leq 0$ and $\alpha^2 - \alpha, \beta^2 - \beta \leq 0$. Since we also need that the function not be strictly concave, this implies that $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}$, and $\alpha \neq \beta$.

Finally, this function is neither concave nor convex when the determinant is negative, which implies that $1 - \alpha - \beta < 0$, with the condition that $\alpha + \beta > 1$.

If the domain of f were instead \mathbb{R}_+^2 , none of these conditions would be sufficient. Specifically, since we can have that $(x, y) = (0, 0)$, it is possible that the Hessian takes indeterminate values depending on the values of α and β .

2 Additional Exercises

Theorem 2. Euler's Theorem If $f : X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at $x \in \text{int}(X)$ and homogenous of degree k , then

$$\nabla f(x)x = kf(x)$$

Proof. We have that f is homogeneous of degree k , which means that $f(\lambda x) = \lambda^k f(x)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$. We will differentiate both sides with respect to λ , using the chain rule. We get that

$$\nabla f(\lambda x) \cdot x = k\lambda^{k-1} f(x)$$

Then, choosing $\lambda = 1$, we get that

$$\nabla f(x)x = kf(x)$$

□